## Proposed introduction of 50MAX HPMV (Formerly known as Lower Bound HPMV)

A joint freight initiative between the NZTA, Road Transport Forum, and RCA Forum Research & Guidelines Group





Prepared for Construction Clients Group Wednesday 17th July 2013







## 50MAX HPMV - overview

#### Aim:

- Moving more freight with less trucks
- Bigger returns for producers and communities
- Increase allowable weight to 50T, cost neutral impacts on bridges and pavements, and pro forma vehicle designs that conform to VDM
- Unleash freight productivity with no investment and alleviate asset management concerns
- NZTA issues permits for all roads in a region with list of excluded bridges







# Limited to new vehicle types – Pro forma 23m truck and trailer



- Same swept path as quad semi truck
- Additional axle to disperse loads and prevent pavement wear







## Limited to new vehicle types – Pro forma 23m B-Train



- Same swept path as quad semi truck Additional axle
  - to disperse loads and prevent pavement wear









## HPMV Route investment 2012-15

- 50% of the total freight task is carried on 95% of network. 50MAX vehicles provides an economically viable solution without upgrading local roads
- The strategic freight network (HPMV investment routes) opens up 4500 km of most productive corridors to 62T vehicles. This is 5% of total road network carrying 50% of freight



Isorodia to Portian

them Rail Civerbrids



### HPMV mass applied for



# 50MAX HPMV replicates Class 1 load curve beyond 44 tonnes for wheelbases >16m



#### 50MAX HPMV – Bridge Capacity

The table should only be used by experiencept civil/structural engineers, in conjunction with a review of the structures condition, structural form and failure mechanisms. The table should not be relied on for a structure that contains any critical structural weaknesses that could create a non-ductile failure mechanism under live loading.

| Design Loading  | Construction Date | Acceptable Span Range                  |            |                         |   |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
|                 |                   | Lower Bound HPMV<br>(with Class 1 AWF) | Ltd<br>Cla | HPMV (with<br>ss 1 AWF) | Full HPMV (with Class 1<br>or HPMV AWF) |  |  |
| HN-HO-72        | 1972-             | All spans                              |            | All spans               | All spans                               |  |  |
| H20-S16-T16     | 1961-1971         | All spans                              | 3          | 0 - 50m                 | 0 - 45m                                 |  |  |
| H20-S16-44      | 1944-1960         | 0 - 30m                                | 1          | 0 - 25m                 | 0 - 20m                                 |  |  |
| H20-S16-41      | 1943              | All spans                              |            | 0 - 25m                 | 0 - 20m                                 |  |  |
| Traction Engine | 1933-1942         | 0 - 25m*                               |            | 0 - 17m*                |   |  |  |
|                 |                   |  |            |                         |   |  |  |

\* Provided bridge is unposted and is assessed as being able to safely support Class 1 vehicles.

Table 4.2: Acceptable Span Ranges for Limited and Full HPMV's for Various Design Loadings







### Bridge capacity

- All "Unposted bridges" up to about 25m span should be able to carry 50MAX HPMV's
- The initial approach for bridges with spans greater than 25m is to post with a Class 1 limit
- Over time, there may be a desire by RCAs to open up some posted bridges on strategic routes after re-analysis, or by strengthening or replacing







## Tracking on the network

| Vehicle Configuration                   | Off-tracking (m) |               |               |                |  |  |
|---|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
|   | 12.5m<br>radius  | 25m<br>radius | 50m<br>radius | 100m<br>radius |  |  |
| 19m quad semitrailer                    | 3.95             | 1.81          | 0.74          | 0.22           |  |  |
| 20m 4-axle truck and 4-<br>axle trailer | 2.90             | 1.02          | 0.31          | 0.16           |  |  |
| 23m HPMV 50MAX truck and trailer        | 3.94             | 1.61          | 0.56          | 0.18           |  |  |
| 23m HPMV 50MAX B-<br>train              | 4.07             | 1.51          | 0.49          | 0.09           |  |  |



New Zealand Government

11

## Reviewed by the RCA Forum Research & Guidelines Group

- 50MAX HPMV pro-formas have a neutral impact on pavement loading
- Access to almost all of the network, excluding posted bridge locations
- Viable business case shows \$100M net reduction in transport costs by year 4
- Reduction in transport costs will increase the return to the producer and hence the community with greater opportunities for economic growth







## Our activities

- Present to various forums for roading managers, CEs & Mayors, NZTA through their Regional Directors
- Completed our screening of SH bridges and providing guidance to local authorities
- Simplified permitting of pro-formas done by NZTA on behalf of LAs. No bridge checks (Jul-Aug)







# Project needs from local authorities, if accepted for implementation

- Road Controlling Authorities to identify their problem bridges with >25m spans (may become 'do not cross' as permit condition)
- NZTA seeks 'letter of delegation' with their approval for NZTA to issue permits for pro forma vehicles on behalf of RCAs







## 50MAX Resources (www.nzta.govt.nz/50MAX)



- Business case
  - HPMV load limits for bridges
  - Pavement impacts
  - Vehicle configurations
  - Vehicle tracking comparisons
  - Bridge guidance for RCAs





